Critical Minutes: How Drones Can Close the Gap in Trauma Survival

WHITE PAPER BY DARKFLITE INC.
JULY 2025

Executive Summary

Trauma is the leading cause of death for Americans under 46, with hemorrhagic shock and uncontrolled bleeding as the most preventable contributor. More than 60,000 people in the U.S. die each year from traumatic bleeding, much of it on roads. In over 60% of cases, death occurs before reaching a hospital. Fewer than 1% of trauma patients receive blood transfusions en-route, not for lack of clinical will, but because blood is costly, perishable, and difficult to deploy using current systems.

Today's delivery model relies on ambulances and helicopters, resources that are expensive, weather-sensitive, and limited by geography and staffing. Fewer than 2% of ambulances carry blood, and air medical services often face challenges maintaining adequate blood supplies at the point of injury. As a result, lifesaving treatment too often arrives too late.

Drones offer a transformative solution. They can launch directly from hospitals or blood banks, delivering blood within 15 minutes to injury scenes, rural hospitals, or EMS teams en-route. Drones bypass traffic and terrain, maintain cold chain standards, and reduce the need to stock every vehicle. Field pilots show that drone delivery is faster, more reliable, and more cost-effective than current methods.

Darkflite, which is Blueflite's dedicated public-sector affiliate, in partnership with Acadian Ambulance, Ochsner Health, Munson Health, and the U.S. Department of Transportation, is developing this capability in real-world environments. Even modest regional drone fleets can accelerate transfusions, expand access, and reduce cost while improving trauma survival rates.

The economic case is equally strong. The U.S. Department of Transportation estimates the value of a statistical life at $13.7 million. Preventing even a small share of avoidable trauma deaths yields tens of billions of dollars in public value annually. Add to this the lower transport costs and fewer post-trauma complications, and the return on investment is clear.

To achieve national scale, regulatory and policy frameworks must advance. FAA approvals for BVLOS emergency medical flights must become faster and more consistent. Drones should be integrated into EMS protocols, with guidance on cold chain handling, chain of custody, and overflight safety. Reimbursement systems must cover drone dispatch as a core part of advanced trauma care. Public-private partnerships are key to building infrastructure, training EMS staff, and validating outcomes. This includes alignment with the forthcoming FAA Part 108 rule and investment in pilot programs and care pathway integration.

The June 6, 2025 Executive Order 'Unleashing American Drone Dominance' has made uncrewed systems a national priority. Drone-based blood delivery is among the most urgent, proven, and lifesaving use cases. With alignment across regulation, reimbursement, and infrastructure, the U.S. can lead the world in transforming trauma logistics, delivering care when every minute counts.

The Current State of Prehospital Blood Delivery

Traumatic injury remains the leading cause of death for Americans under 46, with uncontrolled hemorrhage the most preventable factor. Despite the clear clinical benefit of early transfusion, the vast majority of trauma patients do not receive blood until after they reach the hospital, often beyond the critical window for survival. This disconnect is not due to a lack of medical knowledge or will, but rather systemic logistical and operational barriers that delay care.

Trauma can occur anywhere, on highways, in homes, at workplaces, or in remote areas. High-speed crashes, gunshot wounds, stabbings, falls, and industrial accidents frequently cause injuries to vascular-rich organs like the liver, spleen, or pelvis. These injuries can lead to rapid internal bleeding, often invisible to the eye and fatal within minutes if not promptly treated.

When blood loss exceeds one-third of total volume, patients enter hemorrhagic shock, leading to hypoxia, cardiac arrest, and ultimately death. Compounding the crisis is the “lethal diamond” of trauma - hypothermia, acidosis, hypocalcemia and coagulopathy - which further impairs the body’s ability to clot and survive. This cascade can begin within 30 minutes and becomes nearly irreversible without immediate transfusion.

Yet, nearly two-thirds of trauma-related deaths occur before the patient arrives at a hospital. In civilian settings, 60–65% of bleeding deaths happen either at the scene or en-route; in military contexts or remote areas, that number exceeds 85%. Studies have consistently shown that early administration of blood products significantly improves survival, especially within the first “golden hour” following injury. Despite this, fewer than 1% of trauma patients in the U.S. receive blood before reaching definitive care.

Some forward-leaning trauma systems have made strides by stocking helicopters or select ambulances with packed red blood cells, plasma, or whole blood. However, these examples remain rare. Fewer than 2% of ground ambulances carry blood products, and even among air ambulances, consistent access is limited to well-funded, urbanized regions.

Several factors explain this gap:

- **Logistical Limitations:** Blood must be stored between 1–6°C, yet most EMS vehicles lack refrigeration. Rapid dispatch times make it impractical to load blood after a call is received.
- **Financial Disincentives:** EMS blood administration is not reimbursed as a separate service under Medicare or most private payers, leaving systems to absorb high costs.
- **Inventory Management:** Blood has a short shelf life. Rotating stock efficiently across numerous vehicles or rural stations increases waste and cost.
- **Scope of Practice and Protocols:** Many EMS agencies lack trained personnel or streamlined protocols for prehospital transfusion. State laws and agency policies often delay blood use until medical control is contacted, wasting precious time.

In sum, today's approach relies on the hope that blood happens to be on board the responding vehicle, a rare occurrence that introduces a deadly element of chance. The current system is fragmented, and geographically inequitable. To mitigate trauma hemorrhage - "the silent rural killer in America" - we must adopt a transformative and new vision.

The Case for Drones in Blood Delivery

To address the challenges outlined in the previous section, we need to fundamentally rethink how blood reaches trauma patients before they arrive at the hospital. Today, the responsibility for storing and transporting blood rests primarily with ambulances and helicopters. Yet in most parts of the United States, that model is too slow, too limited, and too inconsistent to reliably deliver lifesaving care during the critical time window after injury or accident.

A more effective approach would be to separate blood logistics from emergency medical response. Instead of trying to solve for every ambulance to carry blood, we could deliver it on demand, dispatched directly from hospitals or blood banks to wherever it's needed in real time. This is where **drone technology offers a transformative solution**.

Uncrewed aerial vehicles, or drones, can launch within minutes of a trauma activation. While EMS teams respond on the ground, a drone carrying blood can take off from a blood bank and fly straight to the scene, arriving as fast or even faster than first responders. Because drones autonomously fly above road traffic, construction delays, and geographic obstacles, they are not slowed by the same barriers ground vehicles face. They can also be stationed alongside blood repositories, making them ready to launch the moment a trauma call requiring blood is received. This eliminates the

need for ambulance vehicles to maintain rotating stock of blood products and is an economically viable and more scalable system.

This model does more than mitigate existing limitations, it establishes the foundation for a national prehospital transfusion framework by advancing the logistics of blood delivery. Uncrewed aerial systems enable rapid, dependable access to blood products at the time and location of need. In hemorrhagic trauma, every minute without intervention substantially reduces the likelihood of survival. By closing the critical interval between injury and clinical intervention, drone-enabled delivery offers the potential to avert a significant number of otherwise preventable fatalities.

In urban areas, drones can fly over congestion and reach trauma scenes faster than ground vehicles, often with greater precision and access than helicopters. In rural regions, they can deliver blood directly to EMS teams or small hospitals that may otherwise face long delays. In disaster zones or areas with damaged infrastructure, drones can still fly in when roads are blocked or impassable. Because they fly directly to GPS coordinates, drones don't depend on road access, opening up critical care to areas conventional transport cannot reach in time.

Beyond speed and access, drones offer a lower-cost and lower-complexity alternative to helicopters and traditional ground courier systems, especially in regions with lower call volumes or access limitations. Unlike helicopters, which are resource-intensive and require trained pilots and specialized crews, autonomous drones can operate continuously with minimal personnel and infrastructure. They also reduce aviation risk. According to the National Transportation Safety Board, the United States sees an average of 12 to 15 helicopter air ambulance accidents each year, some resulting in crew fatalities. Drones eliminate the need to place human pilots, or ambulance drivers, in hazardous conditions, including night operations, adverse weather, and complex terrain. As federal policy advances, particularly under new FAA regulations and Executive Orders prioritizing uncrewed systems, drone delivery networks are well positioned to scale from local pilots to coordinated regional and national coverage, not replacing EMS. This is not about replacing EMS, but about equipping it with the tools it needs to save lives faster.

Implementation Requirements

For drone-delivered blood to evolve from promising pilots into everyday emergency response, several foundational elements must be in place. Regulation, technology, operations, training, and reimbursement all need to work together to support a scalable, dependable system that EMS teams can adopt, and that patients can rely on.

A critical enabler for scaling drone-based blood delivery is the regulatory environment. While the FAA's Part 135 certification currently allows approved operators to conduct commercial drone delivery, it was originally developed for crewed aviation and remains insufficient for fast, autonomous response. The June 6, 2025 Executive Order 'Unleashing American Drone Dominance' directs the FAA to issue a proposed rule within 30 days enabling routine Beyond Visual Line of Sight

(BVLOS) operations for commercial and public safety purposes, with a final rule required within 240 days, designed specifically for uncrewed aircraft systems. The EO aims to create a more efficient, predictable certification process for BVLOS operations, replacing the current reliance on waivers and exemptions. It is expected to introduce standard requirements for autonomous capabilities, and define the roles and responsibilities needed to manage drone flights in shared airspace. The new Executive order is intended to enable routine, scalable deployment of critical medical missions, including blood delivery in trauma scenarios. The groundwork is underway, but timely implementation will be essential to realizing its potential. With strong federal momentum, now is the time to ensure drone-based blood delivery becomes a standardized and trusted component of the national emergency response system.

The technology itself must be ready for the mission. Drones that transport blood must be designed for medical use, including systems that maintain cold chain requirements throughout flight. These aircraft should be capable of launching from locations like hospitals or blood banks, and remain flight-ready without constant on-site staffing. Since drone flights in this use case may be infrequent, it is essential that systems are easy to operate and can be deployed within minutes when needed and can reach even hard-to-access locations reliably. Remote operation models, where a single pilot oversees multiple drones from a central hub, will help reduce operational cost and complexity. With the right level of autonomy and safety built in, these drones can deliver blood reliably, safely, and quickly without adding to the burden of already stretched EMS resources. Darkflite has developed a drone platform specifically for this purpose, combining advanced cold-chain capability, rapid deployment features, and centralized control architecture to meet the unique demands of emergency blood logistics.

Integration with existing emergency response systems is equally important. Drones must plug directly into the existing dispatch and triage processes used by EMS teams, hospitals, and public safety agencies. This means drones should be dispatched automatically when a trauma case meets certain criteria, just like ambulances or helicopters are today. The model must be flexible enough to serve different partners: hospitals, blood banks, ambulance services, fire departments, or even police agencies. On the ground, EMS providers need to be trained to interact with the drone: how to secure the landing area, retrieve and verify the blood product, and prepare it for transfusion. In parallel, protocols must be developed to expand the number of EMS teams authorized to administer blood in the field, so that the benefits of rapid delivery can be fully realized.

Finally, for this model to scale, the economics have to work. Today, most EMS agencies are reimbursed only when they transport a patient to the hospital. This means delivering blood to the field, even when it saves a life, often isn't covered by insurance. That has to change. Policy adjustments are needed to recognize drone-enabled services as reimbursable components of emergency care. That includes treating drone-dispatched blood delivery like any other part of trauma response, whether the patient is ultimately transported or treated on-site. As new care

models emerge, including "treat and release" or "treat and refer" protocols, reimbursement policies must evolve to support innovations that keep patients alive at the scene.

Enabling Nationwide Adoption

While Darkflite is developing the underlying technology, successfully integrating drone-based blood delivery into the U.S. emergency care system will require more than technical readiness. Achieving national scale depends on aligned policy frameworks, sustainable reimbursement mechanisms, and strategic public investment. These elements must operate in concert to shift from demonstration pilots to a standardized, reliable component of the country's healthcare infrastructure.

Accelerated regulatory alignment remains a critical enabler. While the FAA is advancing Part 108 to support uncrewed systems, broader interagency collaboration, particularly between the FAA, DOT, and HHS, will be essential to ensure timely approval of lifesaving missions. These agencies should prioritize trauma-related BVLOS operations and define clear regulatory pathways for emergency medical use cases. Legislative support for pre-certified mission profiles and expedited airspace access can further reduce delays. Darkflite is prepared to support this coordination and contribute technical expertise to policy development.

Public funding and targeted incentives will be necessary to catalyze implementation. Federal grants supporting deployment pilots, infrastructure development, digital integration, and standardized training curricula can help local systems adopt drone platforms without delaying progress in trauma care. These investments are especially important in rural and underserved areas where trauma burden is high and access to traditional logistics remains limited. Simultaneously, raising awareness among healthcare providers, EMS agencies, insurers, and the public will be essential to build trust, confidence, and long-term adoption.

Scaling public-private partnerships will also be central to long-term success. Coordination between federal agencies, health systems, and certified drone technology providers such as Darkflite can drive the necessary operational pilots, workforce training, and impact evaluation. Federal programs such as DOT's SMART grants and HHS emergency innovation initiatives offer immediate opportunities to support regional deployments and define best practices. Partnerships like those between Darkflite, Acadian Ambulance, Ochsner Health, and the U.S. Department of Transportation provide a strong model for progress and national replication.

Lastly, existing **EMS billing structures** are built around conventional patient transport and do not recognize drone-enabled medical logistics. As a result, blood deliveries by drone, despite their clinical value, would remain largely unreimbursed. Federal healthcare agencies such as CMS should create new billing codes or reimbursement pathways that reflect the operational and clinical utility of drone-based interventions. These could be integrated into trauma bundles, advanced life support billing, or treat-and-refer protocols. Health and life insurance providers

should also be engaged as partners in recognizing the mortality benefits and long-term cost savings associated with faster interventions. Improved trauma survival rates reduce acute care costs and long-term claims, offering a path for return on investment.

With coordinated policy action, reimbursement modernization, and public-private investment, drone-based blood delivery can become a foundational element of trauma care in the United States, saving lives, improving efficiency, and reinforcing American leadership in emergency response innovation.

Economic Impact

Implementing drone-based blood delivery is not only a public health advancement, it is a strategic economic investment. Modernizing trauma logistics with autonomous systems can lower healthcare costs, reduce transport inefficiencies, create skilled manufacturing jobs, and strengthen U.S. competitiveness in advanced aerospace.

Traditional emergency transport systems, including air ambulances and ground transportation, are expensive and often constrained by geography, staffing, or weather. In contrast, drones offer faster response at lower operational cost, particularly in regions where transport delays are common. By delivering blood directly to trauma scenes or rural hospitals, drones help avoid costly complications from delayed transfusion, reduce strain on emergency systems, and shorten hospital stays. These benefits can be quantified in direct savings to insurers, hospitals, and public health budgets.

The broader economic case includes the statistical value of life, a figure routinely used in federal policymaking to assess cost-benefit of safety interventions. In the United States, the Department of Transportation currently uses a value of approximately 13.7 million dollars per life saved. Preventing even a small number of trauma deaths through faster blood delivery can justify large-scale investment in infrastructure and operations. For example, if a drone network enables lifesaving intervention in just ten additional trauma cases per year across a region, the implied public value exceeds 100 million dollars.

Beyond health outcomes, the supply chain for medical drone systems supports high-value domestic jobs. NDAA-compliant aircraft require U.S.-based design, assembly, and component sourcing. This drives demand for engineering, software, cold-chain logistics, and flight operations. Investing in this sector supports both national security and economic growth, while reducing dependence on foreign technology.

Finally, drones create a platform for economic spillover. Launch hubs, maintenance centers, and control infrastructure bring investment to hospitals, EMS systems, and local governments. The same platform can later support additional services such as disaster relief, remote diagnostics, and industrial logistics, helping amortize costs while expanding community impact.

With aligned regulation, funding, and public-private partnerships, drone-based medical delivery can become a cost-effective pillar of a stronger, more equitable emergency care system, while generating measurable economic return.

Conclusion

Trauma-induced hemorrhage remains one of the most preventable causes of death in the United States, yet systemic limitations in prehospital logistics continue to delay the delivery of lifesaving interventions. Despite clear clinical consensus on the value of early transfusion, the majority of trauma patients do not receive blood until arrival at a hospital, often beyond the critical window for survival.

This is not a challenge of medical science, but of logistics infrastructure. The current model, reliant on pre-stocking blood in select ambulances or relying on slower ground and air transport, has proven insufficient to meet the time sensitivity of traumatic bleeding. Autonomous drone delivery provides a credible alternative, tested in the field. It enables direct dispatch of blood products from hospitals or blood banks to emergency scenes within minutes, maintaining cold chain integrity and bypassing traditional bottlenecks. In real-world use, delivery times have been reduced from 45 minutes or more to under 15 minutes.

The policy implications are clear. Enabling drone-based emergency medical delivery at scale will require dedicated regulatory pathways that support time-critical operations, including beyond visual line of sight flights and integration with EMS dispatch systems. Reimbursement mechanisms must be updated to reflect the clinical and economic value of drone-enabled interventions. Investment in infrastructure, workforce readiness, and public-private partnerships will be essential to achieving broad adoption.

This paper outlines a national opportunity to modernize trauma logistics, reduce preventable mortality, and establish the United States as a global leader in next-generation emergency care. The technologies are proven. The operational models are viable. The need for action is immediate. We invite stakeholders across healthcare, government, and technology to join us in advancing this mission. For partnership opportunities or additional information, contact us at info@darkflite.com.

Appendix

Trauma and Hemorrhage Overview

Trauma is the leading cause of death for Americans between ages 1 and 46, with uncontrolled bleeding (hemorrhage) the primary preventable factor. According to the American College of Surgeons and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), more than 60,000 people die from hemorrhagic trauma annually in the U.S.[1–2] Global deaths from hemorrhage exceed 1.5 million each year.[3] Most hemorrhage-related deaths occur within the first two hours of injury, well before hospital arrival.[4]

Prehospital Gap in Blood Delivery

Despite the time-critical nature of blood loss, fewer than 2% of ground EMS agencies in the U.S. carry blood products.[5] In fact, under 1% of trauma patients receive a blood transfusion before reaching the hospital.[6] This is due in part to logistical challenges, high costs, and the lack of reimbursement for prehospital transfusion.[7] Without early intervention, survival drops significantly, each minute of delay in administering blood is associated with a 2–11% increase in mortality risk.[8–9]

Impact of Drone-Based Delivery

Drone systems can deliver blood directly from centralized storage to trauma scenes in under 15 minutes, compared to 30–60 minutes for traditional road-based delivery in rural or congested areas.[10] A 2025 pilot program funded by the U.S. Department of Transportation (SBIR Phase I) explored delivery of blood via drone in partnership with Darkflite and Acadian Ambulance, maintaining cold chain and meeting hand-off and response time targets.[11] A separate medical delivery program in Michigan reduced transport times by over 80%.[12]

Economic Value and Policy Relevance

The U.S. Department of Transportation assigns a value of statistical life (VSL) of $13.7 million (2024) for policy analysis.[13] Even a modest reduction in preventable trauma deaths, such as the ~10,000 lives per year estimated by the American College of Surgeons with universal prehospital blood access, would yield over $130 billion in public value.[14] Drone delivery systems also offer significantly lower operational costs (in the range of $100s per mission) compared to helicopter or redundant ground vehicle coverage.[15]

Regulatory Momentum

In June 2025, the White House issued an Executive Order titled “Unleashing American Drone Dominance,” directing the FAA to accelerate rules enabling routine Beyond Visual Line of Sight (BVLOS) drone operations for emergency medical and public safety use.[16] The Executive Order directs the FAA to issue a proposed BVLOS rule within 30 days (by July 6, 2025) and a final rule within 240 days (by February 1, 2026).[17] Pilot programs like the DOT-funded projects in Louisiana, Maryland, and the Cherokee Nation serve as testbeds for national rollout of this lifesaving capability.

Key References

1. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Trauma Reports, 2023
2. American College of Surgeons, "Eliminating Blood Deserts," 2024
3. WHO Global Status Report on Injury Prevention, 2023
4. Holcomb et al., Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery, 2019
5. Prehospital Blood Transfusion Coalition (PBTC), 2024
6. American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP), Position Statement on Hemorrhage Control, 2023
7. Newman, S., "Improving Access to Prehospital Blood," ACS Bulletin, 2025
8. Duchesne JC et al., Shock Journal, 2020
9. Deeb et al., Journal of Trauma, 2023
10. UpNorth Live / Munson Health, May 2025
11. USDOT SBIR Phase I Award Summary, September 2024
12. WPBN/WGTU Traverse City News, 2025
13. U.S. DOT Guidance on Statistical Value of Life (VSL), Revised 2024
14. ACS Clinical Congress News Conference, October 2024
15. Reasons to be Cheerful, “Swedish Drones Beat Ambulances,” 2022
16. Executive Order on Drone Integration, White House, June 2025
17. FAA ARC Reports and Part 108 Preview, January 2025

Disclaimer

This white paper is provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal, regulatory, investment, or engineering advice. The views expressed herein are those of Darkflite Inc. and are based on publicly available information and internal analysis at the time of publication. While every effort has been made to ensure accuracy, Darkflite Inc. makes no representations or warranties regarding the completeness or correctness of the information provided. Readers are encouraged to consult appropriate experts before acting on any information contained in this document.